

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,335	04/29/2004	Po-Ching Lin	12777-US-PA	3334
31561	7590 11/15/2006	EXAMINER		
•	YUN INTELLECTUAL PI	TILL, TERRENCE R		
7 FLOOR-1, NO. 100 ROOSEVELT ROAD, SECTION 2 TAIPEI, 100			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1744	
TAIWAN			DATE MAILED: 11/15/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4	
,	ì
ł	1

•		Applica	tion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/709,	335	LIN ET AL.				
		Examin	er	Art Unit	,			
		Terrenc		1744	,			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on t	he cover sheet v	with the correspondence a	ddress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on 13 September	r 2006.					
·	,	2b)⊠ This action is						
′—	Since this application is in condition	<i>,</i> —		tters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is			
٠,٣	closed in accordance with the practic			•				
Dispositi	on of Claims							
· · _	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the a	nnlication						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
· —	Claim(s) <u>1,2,5,7-9,12 and 13</u> is/are r	eiected.		•				
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) <u>3,4,6,10,11,14</u> is/are object							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restric		requirement.					
, —	on Papers							
	•							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		,	-				
	Applicant may not request that any object							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	•			• •			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. I	Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	10-152.			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			•	,			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	TO-948)	Paper No	v Summary (PTO-413) D(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Application/Control Number: 10/709,335 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-14 in the reply filed on 9/31/2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8, 10 and 14 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, "apellicle" should be --a pellicle--. In claim 3, "themulti" should be --the multi--. In claims 6, 8 and 14, "the pellicle" should be --the pellicle--. In claim 10, "wherein each" should be --wherein each--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Japanese patent to Kitou (JP 6-163344).
- 5. The patent to Kitou discloses a tool for removing particles from a reticle, comprising two gas spray members 14,16, directed toward an upper surface and a lower surface of the reticle 32 for removing particles; and a supporting member 12 supporting the gas spray member. With respect to the limitation of the support member disposed in front of apellicle particle detector, what the support is disposed in front of is considered a matter of intended use.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,335 Page 3

Art Unit: 1744

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/709,335 Page 4

Art Unit: 1744

10. Claims 2, 5, 9 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent to Kitou et al.(JP 6-163344) in view of Block (US 945,152).

- 11. The patent to Kitou discloses the claimed invention except that they use what appears to be a single opening spry head instead of a multi-directional gas spray head that has four gas spray holes four different orientations. The patent to Block shows that a spray head 12 with at least four spray holes four different orientations is an equivalent structure known in the art. Therefore, because these two spray heads were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute the spray head of Kitou et al. for the spray head of Block in order to obtain a wide coverage from the spray head.
- 12. Claims 7 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent to Kitou et al.(JP 6-163344) in view of Meyer et al. (US 5,661,872).
- 13. Kitou et al. does not disclose the gas spray member is connected with a particle filter. The patent to Meyer et al. discloses a compressed air cleaning device having a gas spray member 30 connected with a particle filter 44. As can be seen the cleaning device of Meyer et al. recirculates the compressed air. Further Kitou et al. discloses filters 13 to filter the gas from the spray heads 14. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the cleaning device of Kitou et al. to have the gas be recirculated in view of the teaching of Meyer et al. and in such a modification, the gas spray member is fluidly connected with a particle filter.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 3, 4, 6, 10, 11 and 14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

15. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Blattner and Smith disclose of cleaning apparatus using air blast. The Japanese patents to Shiichi and Schrijver et al. disclose gas cleaning of pellicles.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Terrence R. Till whose telephone number is (571) 272-1280. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. through Thurs. and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gladys P. Corcoran can be reached on (571) 272-1214. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,335

Art Unit: 1744

Terrence R. Till
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1744

Page 6

trt